

Tyrus Capital Alternatives LLP

**Best Execution Disclosures** 

2022

Report: RTS 28 Disclosure

Firm: Tyrus Capital Alternatives LLP

Calendar Year Disclosure Period: 1 Jan 2022 to 31 December 2022

**Date:** 29 April 2023

## Top Five Trading Venues (Brokers)

Class of instrument	Debt instruments (cor	vertible bonds)			
Notification if <1 average trade per business day in	N				
the previous year					
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of	Proportion of	Proportion of orders	Percentage of	Percentage of	Percentage of
trading volumes (descending order)	volume traded as a	executed as	passive orders	aggressive orders	directed orders
	percentage of total	percentage of total			
	in that class	in that class			
JEFFERIES - 58PU97L1C0WSRCWADL48	20.36%	19.02%	N/A	N/A	0%
BNP PARIBAS - ROMUWSFPU8MPRO8K5P83	12.99%	1141%	N/A	N/A	0%
BARCLAYS - AC28XWWI3WIBK2824319	12.26%	11.41%	N/A	N/A	0%
CREDIT SUISSE DL6FFRRLF74S01HE2M14	9.88%	5.98%	N/A	N/A	0%
CITI - XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493	9.30%	9.24%	N/A	N/A	0%

Class of instrument	<b>Currency derivatives</b>					
Notification if <1 average trade per business	Υ					
day in the previous year						
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of	Proportion of volume	Proportion of orders	Percentage of passive	Percentage of	Percentage of	
trading volumes (descending order)	traded as a	executed as	orders	aggressive orders	directed orders	
	percentage of total in	percentage of total in				
	that class	that class				
RBC - 549300IVXKQHV6O7PY61	100%	100%	N/A	N/A		0%

Class of instrument	Equities – shares and depositary receipts					
Notification if <1 average trade per business	Υ	1				
day in the previous year						
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of	Proportion of volume	Proportion of orders	Percentage of passive	Percentage of	Percentage of	
trading volumes (descending order)	traded as a	executed as	orders	aggressive orders	directed orders	
	percentage of total in	percentage of total in				
	that class	that class				
DAIWA - MIM2K09LFYD4IB163W58	100%	100%	N/A	N/A		0%

## RTS 28 Quality of execution annual report

Report: RTS 28 Disclosure

Firm: Tyrus Capital Alternatives LLP

Calendar Year Disclosure Period: 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022

Date: 29 April 2023

Summary of classes of instruments included in this report, and class of instruments not included in this report (because the Firm has not executed client orders in this class of instrument):

Classes of instruments included in this report	Classes of instruments not included in this report
Debt instruments	Interest rate derivatives
Currency derivatives	Exchange traded products
Equities – shares and depositary receipts	Credit derivatives
	Equity derivatives
	Commodities derivatives and emission allowance derivatives
	Structured finance instruments
	Securitised derivatives
	Contracts for difference
	Emission allowances
	Other instruments

Class of Instrument	All included in this report	
	Summary of analysis	Conclusion
Execution venues: close links, conflicts of interest and	The Firm has no close links to report	N/A
common ownership	The Firm has no conflicts of interest to report	N/A
	The Firm has no common ownership to report	N/A
Specific arrangements with execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received	The firm has no specific arrangements to report	N/A
Factors leading to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the order execution policy	With the exception of new fund launches, during the period under review, Tyrus Capital Alternatives LLP' list of execution venues did not change. However the factors considered include price, access to the market, counterparty risk and market liquidity.	The Firm regularly reviews the effectiveness of its Best Execution policy and arrangements to identify and, where appropriate, incorporate any changes to maintain or enhance the quality of execution obtained.
Differentiation across client categories	N/A – all of the Firm's clients are professional clients.	N/A
Use of data / tools relating to quality of execution	The Firm monitors the effectiveness of its execution arrangements, assessing on a regular basis whether the venues/brokers listed consistently provide the best possible result for the Firm's clients. Performance across venues/brokers was in line with expectation and was achieved in accordance with the Best Execution policy.	The Firm believes that it achieved the best possible results for its clients on a consistent basis during the reporting period.
Use of consolidated tape provider output	The Firm has not used output from consolidated tape providers	N/A

Class of Instrument	Debt instruments (convertible bonds)		
General observations	As part of the Firm's analysis of best execution in relation to debt instruments, reviews of external market data and externally verifiable reference prices (where available) were undertaken when pricing or checking the price of debt instruments (including bespoke instruments). The monitoring completed confirmed that best execution was obtained consistently on the approved execution venues throughout the year.		
	Summary of analysis	Conclusion	
Execution factors	Where convertible bonds are executed directly, key factors considered include: (i)price; (ii) market share of broker; (iii) overall transaction costs (i.e. spread for convertible bonds). Prices are compared via a request for quote ("RFQ"), taking into account additional transaction costs that may be applicable. When transactions are time-sensitive, response time to RFQ is often a defining factor. Occasionally, preference is given to counterparties that are operationally easier to work with where time factors are present.	The consideration given to the execution factors was in line with the Firm's order execution policy.	
	Where orders are executed indirectly, relevant factors are costs, price and the ongoing broker relationship.		

Class of Instrument	<b>Currency Derivatives</b>	Currency Derivatives		
General observations	the prevailing market price from a combination of third party pricing sources and/or	As part of the Firm's analysis of best execution in relation to currency derivatives, reviews were undertaken of the prevailing market price from a combination of third party pricing sources and/or indicative quotes. The monitoring completed supported that best execution was obtained consistently on the approved execution venues throughout the year.		
	Summary of analysis	Conclusion		
Execution factors	Tyrus Capital Alternatives LLP' delivery of best execution is a key element in its commitment to act in the best interests of its clients, as well as being a regulatory requirement. The Firm prioritises ensuring that all sufficient steps are taken to obtain the best possible result for its clients when it executes, places or transmits orders on their behalf. This means taking into account the 'execution factors' such as: (i) price; (ii) costs; (iii) speed; (iv) likelihood of execution and settlement; (v) size; (vi) nature; or (vii) any other consideration relevant to the execution of the order.  The relative importance of the execution factors is judged on an order-by-order basis in line with the Firm's industry experience and prevailing market conditions. In addition to the above, common key factors for relevant asset classes have been identified as follows:	The consideration given to the execution factors was in line with the Firm's order execution policy.		
	FX Forwards  Due to the liquid nature of FX forwards, price will be relatively important. That notwithstanding, the overall value of a particular transaction may be affected by the other execution factors listed above. For example, there is no formalised market or settlement infrastructure for OTC transactions. In other cases, the choice of execution venue may be limited to the extent that there is only one venue to choose from, due to the nature of the order.			

Class of Instrument	Equities – shares and depositary receipts		
General observations	As part of Tyrus Capital Alternatives LLP' analysis of best execution in relation to Equities – shares and depositary receipts, the Firm analysed a sample of trades during the relevant period to determine whether any of the trades were executed at a price outside of what it considered to be a reasonable market level based on available data.  The monitoring completed supported that best execution was obtained consistently on the approved execution venue throughout the year.		
	Summary of analysis	Conclusion	
Execution factors	Tyrus Capital Alternatives LLP' delivery of best execution is a key element in its commitment to act in the best interests of its clients, as well as being a regulatory requirement. The Firm ensures that sufficient steps are taken to obtain the best possible results for its clients when it executes, places or transmits orders on their behalf. This means taking into account 'execution factors' set out above which include: (i) price; (ii) costs; (iii) speed; (iv) likelihood of execution and settlement; (v) size; (vi) nature; or (vii) any other consideration relevant to the execution of the order.	The consideration given to the execution factors was in line with the Firm's order execution policy.	
	The relative importance of the execution factors is judged on an order-by-order basis in line with the Firm's industry experience and prevailing market conditions. In addition to the above, common key factors for relevant asset classes have been identified as follows:  Equities – shares and depositary receipts The Firm typically considers price, size and costs the primary factors.		